

Eye Safety With LED Components

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....1
 Photobiological Standards and Regulations for LED Components ..2
 Summary Test Results.....3

INTRODUCTION

This application note explains the current standards and regulations related to LED components (both packaged LEDs and LED light engines or modules) and photobiological safety. It also provides guidance for expected maximum risk group classifications for Cree’s visible light LED components in accordance with these standards. Visible light LED components, as that term is used in this document, include both white LEDs and LED modules and colored LEDs with the dominant wavelengths between 400 nm and 680 nm.

At a high enough intensity, all light sources have the potential to be harmful to both the skin and the eyes through ultraviolet (UV), blue light (400-480 nm) and/or infrared (IR) emissions. LEDs that emit blue light may be identified by multiple names, such as blue, royal blue or dental blue. (As of the date of this application note, Cree does not produce a dental blue LED). Additionally, most white packaged LEDs (including Cree’s) are made using blue emitting LED die and therefore emit a portion of their total output as blue light.

Cree has engaged an independent lab to conduct photobiological testing, also known as eye safety testing, on its blue, royal blue and select white LED components. The results of this testing (explained below in further detail) show significant health risks from some of Cree’s visible light LED components when viewed without diffusers or secondary optical devices. These risks warrant an advisory notice to indicate the potential for eye injury caused by prolonged viewing of blue light from these devices.

To date, the testing shows that Cree’s blue and royal blue LED components (450-485 nm dominant wavelengths) pose a higher potential eye safety hazard than its white LED components. Other colors of LED components, such as green and red LED components, do not pose as significant of an eye safety risk. **Regardless of LED color, Cree advises users to not look directly at any operating LED component.** Further, Cree recommends that any manufacturer that is incorporating Cree® LED components into its

lighting products make an assessment of how these components could create a light exposure risk to its employees during the manufacturing process. Such risks can be minimized by using engineering controls (e.g., light blocking screens or filters, or current limiting resistors in a test apparatus) or personnel protection equipment (e.g., light filtering or blocking eyewear).

During the eye safety testing of Cree's visible light LED components, the LED solder-point temperature or LED module case temperature was controlled to be at or below what is normally observed in most LED luminaire (lighting fixture) designs -- this control ensures maximized, or worst case, light output. Depending on the final luminaire design, the eye safety risks associated with a particular use of Cree LED components could differ from data provided in this application note, or the third party test results, due to differences in operating conditions.

PHOTOBIOLOGICAL STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS FOR LED COMPONENTS

Before the fall of 2008, most LEDs were tested and labeled in accordance with the IEC/EN 60825 laser safety (coherent light source) standard. The IEC/EN 60825 standard, however, was not considered appropriate for conventional packaged LEDs because most LEDs are not designed to be coherent light sources (except for laser diodes). Further, the IEC/EN 60825 standard does not define risk groups for LED luminaires, so it does not consider changes in the eye safety risks created by elements other than individual LED components, such as secondary optics, reflectors, or diffusers. As a result, in late 2008 a newer standard, referred to as IEC 62471-2006 (plus the supporting ANSI/IESNA RP-27 testing methodology), was adopted for conventional, or lighting class, LEDs. The detailed photobiological testing results provided below in this application note are based on the new standard and the ANSI/IESNA RP-27 testing methodology.

As of the date of publication of this application note, a few countries may still refer to IEC/EN 60825 as the prevailing standard. Since IEC/EN 60825 was the only safety standard for LED components available for many years, Cree previously tested several of its XLamp® white LEDs in accordance with the IEC/EN 60825 and found that many of them would be considered Class 2 devices under such standard. Products released in 2010 or later likely have not been tested or evaluated using IEC 60825.

The summary of results presented below were performed on standalone Cree LED components to aid in fixture design and to assess the general safety of personnel exposed to LED-based emissions in the manufacturing setting. No single test result is meant to be indicative of all XLamp LEDs and LED modules under all operation conditions, i.e., operation within a range of forward currents is possible with any LED. Further, the risk classification of a standalone LED component has little or no bearing on the risk classification of the final luminaire. Accordingly, once Cree LED components are incorporated into a luminaire or related LED lighting product, Cree recommends and EU consumer and commercial directives and the IEC 62471-2006 standard generally require that the assembly be tested under ANSI/IESNA RP-27 (or an equivalent measurement methodology) to assess the eye safety risk of the lighting system.

The IEC 62471-2006 standard specifies four (4) classifications, called risk groups, for lamps and lamp systems (excluding lasers) emitting light in wavelengths from 200 to 3000 nm as set forth in Table 1 below.¹

| Risk Group | Risk | Definition |
|------------|---------------|---|
| Exempt | None | No photobiological hazard |
| RG-1 | Low Risk | No photobiological hazard under normal behavioral limitation |
| RG-2 | Moderate risk | Does not pose a hazard due to aversion response to bright light or thermal discomfort |
| RG-3 | High risk | Hazardous even for momentary exposure |

Table 1: Risk groups

SUMMARY TEST RESULTS

Table 2 and Table 3 below summarize the eye safety test results for Cree LED components pursuant to the IEC 62471 classification system. The risks noted below are based on the measured blue light emissions. Upon testing, Cree LED components demonstrated no other hazardous properties defined by IEC 62471-2006 or ANSI/IESNA RP-27.

Contact a Cree sales representative for more information regarding the Cree XLamp LEDs referenced in Table 2 and the Cree LED modules referenced in Table 3.

¹ IEC 62471 Photobiological safety of lamps and lamp systems - First edition, 2006-2007

| XLamp LED | Testing Date | Drive Condition | Risk Group Classification |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CXA1507 | July 27, 2012 | 0.375 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| CXA1512 | August 24, 2012 | 0.500 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| CXA2011 | June 9, 2011 | 0.270 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| MC-E White (4S) | June 26, 2009 | 2.800 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| MK-R White | October 25, 2013 | 1.250 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| ML-E Blue | May 3, 2013 | 0.350 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| ML-E White | April 27, 2012 | 0.150 A | Exempt |
| MP-L EasyWhite (per string) | June 9, 2011 | 0.150 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| MT-G EasyWhite (6V) | June 9, 2011 | 1.100 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| MX-6 White | September 4, 2009 | 0.350 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| XB-D Green | October 5, 2012 | 1.000 A | Exempt |
| XB-D Royal Blue | October 5, 2012 | 1.000 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XB-D White | October 5, 2012 | 1.000 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XH-G White | November 11, 2013 | 0.350 A | Exempt |
| XM-L EasyWhite (12V) | June 4, 2012 | 0.350 A | RG-1 Low risk |
| XM-L High Voltage White | April 27, 2012 | 0.044 A | Exempt |
| XM-L White | June 5, 2011 | 0.700 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XM-L2 White | October 25, 2013 | 3.000 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XP-E Blue | June 26, 2009 | 0.700 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XP-E High Efficiency White | June 5, 2011 | 0.350 A | Exempt |
| XP-E Royal Blue | June 26, 2009 | 0.700 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XP-E White | June 26, 2009 | 0.700 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XP-G White | July 31, 2012 | 1.500 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XP-G2 White | November 3, 2013 | 1.500 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XR-E Blue | June 26, 2009 | 1.000 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XR-E Royal Blue | June 30, 2009 | 1.000 A | RG-3 High risk |
| XR-E White | June 26, 2009 | 1.000 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XT-E High Voltage White | November 3, 2013 | 48.000 V | RG-1 Low risk |
| XT-E Royal Blue | October 5, 2012 | 1.500 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |
| XT-E White | October 5, 2012 | 1.500 A | RG-2 Moderate risk |

Table 2: Summary table of XLamp LED eye safety test results

| LED Module | Testing Date | Drive Condition | Risk Group Classification |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| LMH2 - 850 lumens | November 18, 2011 | 0.440 A | Exempt |
| LMH2 - 1250 lumens | November 18, 2011 | 0.440 A | Exempt |
| LMH2 - 2000 lumens | March 23, 2012 | 0.900 A | Exempt |
| LMH2 - 3000 lumens | March 23, 2012 | 0.900 A | Exempt |
| LMR4 | August 22, 2011 | 0.070 A | Exempt |

Table 3: Summary table of LED module eye safety test results